SINGLE BENCH

(1) 3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1296 /2005

PETITIONER

Chandrika Das Mahalange, Son of Rambagas, aged about 25 (presently 47) years, Ex-Ad-hoc Upper Division Teacher, Government Hr. Secondary School, Sambalpur, Education Distt. Bemetara, Distt. Durg (C.G.) resident of – Village Darri, PO Temri, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1286/05
Presented by Shri A.H. Bhatt
dated 24/3/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through : the Secretary, Department of Education, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

2. The Director, Lok Shikshan Sanchalnalay, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

3. The District Education Officer, Education Distt. Bemetara, (Chhattisgarh)

4. The State of Madhya Pradesh through : the Secretary, Department of Education, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh).

23/3/05

RECEIVED COPY
24.3.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

23

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP/1296/05 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	5.4.2005 Shri A.N. Bhakta, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sudhir Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.1 to 3. The petitioner's case is that on 29.10.1982 he was appointed as Upper Division Teacher in the erstwhile State of M.P., which was the mother State of present State of C.G., for a period three months. Thereafter, the petitioner submitted his application in the employment exchange also and after 90 days the petitioner's services discontinued. Petitioner's further case is that as per policy of 1997 (Annexure P-4) while filling up the vacancy of teachers in the Panchayat schools the respondents are required to consider the case of ex-adhoc teachers but the petitioner's case is not being considered by the respondents. Therefore, counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.2 and he be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner, to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.2 within a period of ten days from today and in turn respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within another three months from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. In view of this order, M.W.P. No.1020/05 & I.A. Nos.2487/05 & 2486/05 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	